





#### Molecular and carbon-based electronic systems

# Lecture 9 - Insights in density functional theory for molecular junctions



Vorlesung Uni Basel, HS2017

#### Landauer approach to electron transport



R. Landauer, IBM J. Res. Dev. 1, 223 (1957)

#### simple one-level model

$$\begin{split} \text{Analytical expression for the current} \quad I(E,V) &= \frac{2e}{h} \int^{\infty} T(E,V) [f(E-\mu_2) - f(E-\mu_1)] dE \\ \text{At low T, Fermi functions $\approx$ Heaviside} \\ \text{step functions, and} \qquad I(V) &= \frac{2e}{h} \int_{\frac{-eV}{2}}^{\frac{eV}{2}} T(E,V) dE \\ \text{Using the expressions} \qquad T(E,V) &= \frac{4\Gamma_1\Gamma_2}{(E-E_0(V))^2 + (\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2)^2} \\ E_F(V) &= \frac{eV}{2} \cdot \frac{\Gamma_1 - \Gamma_2}{\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2} \\ \text{we can write} \qquad I(V) &= \frac{8e}{h} \cdot \frac{\Gamma_L\Gamma_R}{\Gamma_L + \Gamma_R} \left[ \arctan\left(\frac{2E_0 + eV\left(\frac{\Gamma_L - \Gamma_R}{\Gamma_L + \Gamma_R} + 1\right)}{2(\Gamma_L + \Gamma_R)}\right) \\ &- \arctan\left(\frac{2E_0 + eV\left(\frac{\Gamma_L - \Gamma_R}{\Gamma_L + \Gamma_R} - 1\right)}{2(\Gamma_L + \Gamma_R)}\right) \right] \end{split}$$

3 fit parameters  $\Gamma_{1,}\Gamma_{2}$ ,  $E_{0} = E_{0}$  (V)

#### molecular energy levels

#### (isolated) molecule

quantum system with spectrum of discrete energy states (molecular orbitals)



⇒ 'Particle in a box': molecule is small box box smaller ⇔ levels more spaced ( $\delta E > k_B T$ )

# Overview

- Quantum chemistry (QC)
- Density functional theory (DFT)
- Non-equilibrium Green's function (NEGF)





# Overview

- Quantum chemistry (QC)
- Density functional theory (DFT)
- Non-equilibrium Green's function (NEGF)





## Schrödinger's Equation

• Molecule can be described using the Schrödinger Equation

Time-independent Schrödinger equation (general) $\hat{\mathbf{H}} \, \Psi = E \Psi$ 

### Schrödinger's Equation

• Molecule can be described using the Schrödinger Equation

Time-independent Schrödinger equation (general) $\hat{\mathbf{H}} \, \Psi = E \Psi$ 

$$\hat{H} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_e} \sum_{i} \nabla_i^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{e^2}{|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|} - \sum_{i,J} \frac{Z_J e^2}{|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{R}_J|} - \sum_{I} \frac{\hbar^2}{2M_I} \nabla_I^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{I \neq J} \frac{Z_I Z_J e^2}{|\mathbf{R}_I - \mathbf{R}_J|}$$

Very Complex many body Problem !! (Because everything interacts)

#### **Born-Oppenheimer approximation**

- Electrons are much lighter, and faster
- Decoupling in the wave function

$$\Psi(\vec{\boldsymbol{r}}, \vec{\boldsymbol{R}}) \approx \Psi_e(\vec{\boldsymbol{r}}) \Psi_n(\vec{\boldsymbol{R}})$$

• Nuclei described as constant external potential

#### **Born-Oppenheimer approximation**

- Electrons are much lighter, and faster
- Decoupling in the wave function

$$\Psi(\vec{\boldsymbol{r}}, \vec{\boldsymbol{R}}) \approx \Psi_e(\vec{\boldsymbol{r}}) \Psi_n(\vec{\boldsymbol{R}})$$

- Nuclei described as constant external potential
- Still numerically intractable

$$\hat{H} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_e} \sum_{i} \nabla_i^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} \frac{e^2}{|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|} - \sum_{i,J} \frac{Z_J e^2}{|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{R}_J|}$$

#### Quantum chemistry calculations

#### **Electronic structure**

- Numerical renormalization group
- Configuration interaction
- Hartree Fock
- Density functional theory
- Hückel method

#### Transport

- NEGF
- GW





# Overview

• Quantum chemistry (QC)

• Density functional theory (DFT)

• Non-equilibrium Green's function (NEGF)





 Density functional theory (DFT) is an exact reformulation of many-body quantum mechanics in terms of the electron density rather than the wave function

 $\rightarrow$ equivalent independent single-particle problem

- Density functional theory (DFT) is an exact reformulation of many-body quantum mechanics in terms of the electron density rather than the wave function
   →equivalent independent single-particle problem
- The wave-function is a unique functional of the density (1<sup>e</sup> Hohenberg-Kohn theorem, 1964)
- All system properties are governed *only* by the ground-state density.
- Nobelprize in 1998!

The density n(r) which minimizes E[n(r)] is the ground-state density, and minimization of this functional alone is enough to fully determine the exact ground-state energy and density n<sub>0</sub>(r).
 (2<sup>e</sup> Hohenberg-Kohn theorem, 1964)

The density n(r) which minimizes E[n(r)] is the ground-state density, and minimization of this functional alone is enough to fully determine the exact ground-state energy and density n<sub>0</sub>(r).
 (2<sup>e</sup> Hohenberg-Kohn theorem, 1964)

$$E_{\rm KS} = T_s[n] + \int d^3 r \ V_{\rm ext}(r) n(r) + E_H[n] + E_{\rm II} + E_{\rm xc}[n]$$

The density n(r) which minimizes E[n(r)] is the ground-state density, and minimization of this functional alone is enough to fully determine the exact ground-state energy and density n<sub>0</sub>(r).
 (2<sup>e</sup> Hohenberg-Kohn theorem, 1964)

$$E_{\rm KS} = T_{s}[n] + \int d^{3}r \, V_{\rm ext}(\mathbf{r})n(\mathbf{r}) + E_{H}[n] + E_{\rm II} + E_{\rm xc}[n]$$
Kinetic energy
Electron-nuclei interaction
Electron-electron interaction
$$E_{\rm H}[n] = \frac{1}{2} \int d^{3}r \int d^{3}r' \, \frac{n(\mathbf{r})n(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}$$

#### Kohn-Sham equations

• But  $T_s$  and  $E_{xc}$  are unknown. How to find  $T_s$ ?

#### Kohn-Sham equations

- But  $T_s$  and  $E_{xc}$  are unknown. How to find  $T_s$ ?
- Kohn and Sham proposed to model electrons as noninteracting particles that generate the same density as the interacting particles (mean field, 1965)

$$\left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\nabla^2 + v_{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{r})\right)\phi_i(\mathbf{r}) = \varepsilon_i\phi_i(\mathbf{r})$$

# Exchange-correlation (XC)

• All we know about the functional  $E_{xc}$  is that it exists, however, its form is unknown.

# Exchange-correlation (XC)

• All we know about the functional  $E_{xc}$  is that it exists, however, its form is unknown.

**Local density approximation (LDA)** : uniform electron gas:  $E_{XC}[\rho]$ **Generalized gradient approximation (GGA)** :  $E_{XC}(\rho, \nabla \rho)$ **Hybrid** (B3LYP) : including some HF for exchange **Dispersion/long-range corrected functionals** 

# Exchange-correlation (XC)

• All we know about the functional  $E_{xc}$  is that it exists, however, its form is unknown.

**Local density approximation (LDA)** : uniform electron gas:  $E_{XC}[\rho]$ **Generalized gradient approximation (GGA)** :  $E_{XC}(\rho, \nabla \rho)$ **Hybrid** (B3LYP) : including some HF for exchange **Dispersion/long-range corrected functionals** 

→As the XC functional is not exact, electron exchange and correlations are not taken properly into account.

### Basis set used to describe orbitals

• A **basis set** is a set of basis functions which are combined in linear combinations to create molecular orbital wavefunctions.

#### Basis set used to describe orbitals

- A **basis set** is a set of basis functions which are combined in linear combinations to create molecular orbital wavefunctions.
- Basis set can be any type of set of functions: atomic orbitals, plane waves, ...



**Guess wavefunction** 







#### **DFT Results**

- DFT provides the energy of the molecular orbitals
- DFT provides the wave-functions of the molecular orbitals



#### Molecular orbitals

- # electrons per cubic ångström
- Positive and negative part of the wave function



#### **DFT Results**

- DFT provides the energy of the molecular orbitals
- DFT provides the wave-functions of the molecular orbitals
- Molecular Orbitals are linear combinations of basis-set functions



#### **DFT Results**

- Orbitals are populated from the bottom (Aufbau principle)
- Each orbital can be occupied by at most two electrons (Pauli principle)
- HOMO/LUMO



#### Summary DFT

- Density functional theory is an exact reformulation of many-body quantum mechanics in terms of the density rather than the wave function
- DFT provides electron density and hence the wave function
- XC functional unknown : electron exchange and correlation effects are approximated
- The result is as good as the functional/basis-set you are using

#### Geometry optimization

- Look for lowest energy configuration
- Based on energy gradient



#### Geometry constraints

- Fix specific atoms or blocks of atoms
- Fix specific bond length, angles etc...



#### Geometry constraints

- Fix specific atoms or blocks of atoms
- Fix specific bond length, angles etc...


#### **Electric fields**

• An electric field can be added









#### Electric fields

- An electric field can be added
- Bias dependence transmission
- Useful to calculate IVs



# Spin resolved calculations



# SCF tricks/speed-up

- Molecular symmetry
- Fragments
- Frozen core
- Electron smearing

# SCF tricks/speed-up - Symmetry



- Enforce symmetry in geometry optimization (reflection, rotation,...)
- Easier to converge
- Speeds up the calculations

# SCF tricks/speed-up – Fragments

- Fragments are first converged separately
- Provides better guess for initial density



# SCF tricks/speed-up – Fragments

- Fragments are first converged separately
- Provides better guess for initial density
- Allows for convergence which otherwise would be difficult/impossible
- Speeds up convergence



# SCF tricks/speed-up – Frozen core

- Deep-core atomic orbitals change very little upon bond formation
- DFT can 'freeze' the core electrons of atoms

# SCF tricks/speed-up – Frozen core

- Deep-core atomic orbitals change very little upon bond formation
- DFT can 'freeze' the core electrons of atoms
- Reduces the size of the variational basis set and speeds up calculations
- Core electrons taken into account when calculating energy

# SCF tricks/speed-up – Smearing

• Electrons are 'smeared out', allowing for partial occupation of orbitals



# SCF tricks/speed-up – Smearing

- Electrons are 'smeared out', allowing for partial occupation of orbitals
- Allows for convergence which otherwise would be difficult/impossible
- Speeds-up convergence
- Especially useful for clusters of metals with many closely located orbitals



# Overview

• Quantum chemistry (QC)

• Density functional theory (DFT)

• Non-equilibrium Green's function (NEGF)





#### NEGF

For charge transport, time dependent Schrödinger equation needs to be solved

$$i\hbarrac{\partial}{\partial t}\Psi({f r},t)=\hat{H}\Psi({f r},t)$$

#### NEGF

For charge transport, time dependent Schrödinger equation needs to be solved

$$i\hbarrac{\partial}{\partial t}\Psi({f r},t)=\hat{H}\Psi({f r},t)$$

After a lot of math, the transmission is given by

$$T(\epsilon) = \operatorname{Tr} \{ \Gamma_L(\epsilon) G^r(\epsilon) \Gamma_R(\epsilon) G^a(\epsilon) \}$$

G<sup>r</sup> is the retarded Green's function

$$\boldsymbol{G}^{r}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) = \left[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\boldsymbol{S} - \boldsymbol{H} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{L}^{r}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{R}^{r}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon})\right]^{-1}$$

#### NEGF

$$\boldsymbol{G}^{r}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) = \left[\boldsymbol{\epsilon}\boldsymbol{S} - \boldsymbol{H} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{L}^{r}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon}) - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{R}^{r}(\boldsymbol{\epsilon})\right]^{-1}$$

 $\epsilon \rightarrow$  Energy incoming electron

 $S \rightarrow Overlap matrix (DFT)$ 

Overlap of basis-set functions (atomic orbitals).

If orthonormal basis, S is identity matrix

 $H \rightarrow$  Fock matrix (DFT)

Eigenvalues: Orbital energies

Eigenvectors: Orbital shape, linear combination atomic orbitals

 $\Sigma \rightarrow$  Self energy matrix (DFT/arbitrary)

$$\Sigma_{L,R}^{r}(\epsilon) = \Lambda_{L,R}(\epsilon) - \frac{1}{2}\Gamma_{L,R}(\epsilon)$$

#### Wide-band limit

Wide-band limit (WBL) assumes self-energy is energy independent

$$\Sigma_{L,R}^r = \Lambda_{L,R} - \frac{1}{2}\Gamma_{L,R}$$

and neglects the real part

$$\Sigma_{L,R}^r = -\frac{1}{2}\Gamma_{L,R}$$

•

#### Wide-band limit

Wide-band limit (WBL) assumes self-energy is energy independent

$$\Sigma_{L,R}^r = \Lambda_{L,R} - \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_{L,R}$$

and neglects the real part

$$\Sigma_{L,R}^r = -\frac{1}{2}\Gamma_{L,R}$$

For gold this is a not-so-crazy assumption



#### 1. Take fock matrix from DFT (H)

2. Take overlap matrix from DFT (S)

3. Chose were to inject charge and construct  $\Gamma_{\rm R,L}$  accordingly.

4. Compute transmission

 $T(\epsilon) = \operatorname{Tr} \{ \Gamma_L(\epsilon) G^r(\epsilon) \Gamma_R(\epsilon) G^d(\epsilon) \}$ 

1. Take fock matrix from DFT (H)

2. Take overlap matrix from DFT (S)

3. Chose were to inject charge and construct  $\Gamma_{\rm R,L}$  accordingly.

4. Compute transmission



 $T(\epsilon) = \operatorname{Tr} \{ \Gamma_L(\epsilon) G^r(\epsilon) \Gamma_R(\epsilon) G^d(\epsilon) \}$ 

1. Take fock matrix from DFT (H)

2. Take overlap matrix from DFT (S)

3. Chose were to inject charge and construct  $\Gamma_{\rm R,L}$  accordingly.

4. Compute transmission

 $T(\epsilon) = \operatorname{Tr} \{ \Gamma_L(\epsilon) G^r(\epsilon) \Gamma_R(\epsilon) G^d(\epsilon) \}$ 



1. Take fock matrix from DFT (H)

2. Take overlap matrix from DFT (S)

3. Chose were to inject charge and construct  $\Gamma_{\rm R,L}$  accordingly.

4. Compute transmission

 $T(\epsilon) = \operatorname{Tr} \{ \Gamma_L(\epsilon) G^r(\epsilon) \Gamma_R(\epsilon) G^a(\epsilon) \}$ 





#### **Orbital decomposition - amplitude**





#### **Orbital decomposition - amplitude**



#### **Orbital decomposition - phase**





Phase is angle between real and complex part of the transmission

 $\pi\text{-phase}$  shift at energy of corresponding orbital

#### **Orbital decomposition - phase**





Phase is angle between real and complex part of the transmission

Destructive interference occurs when amplitude is the same, but  $\pi$ -phase shift

# Transport with gold electrodes

• Couple to atomic orbitals of gold of outermost layer instead of S atoms.



#### Transport with gold electrodes





# Transport with gold electrodes



#### **Orbital projections**



Gas phase HOMO

#### **Orbital projections**



#### How does this compare to experiments?

Bandgap underestimated, conductance overestimated



#### How does this compare to experiments?

#### Bandgap underestimated, conductance overestimated

- DFT does not properly account for addition/removal of charge
- 2. Formation of image-charges in the electrodes upon addition/removal of charge not taken into account



# $DFT + \Sigma$

• Correct HOMO and LUMO by performing a calculation for ±1e

 $\rightarrow$  increases bandgap a lot

# DFT + Σ

 Correct HOMO and LUMO by performing a calculation for ±1e

 $\rightarrow$  increases bandgap a lot

 Correct for image-charge formation in electrodes (classical electrostatics)

 $\rightarrow$  reduces bandgap a bit


## DFT + Σ

 Correct HOMO and LUMO by performing a calculation for ±1e

ightarrow increases bandgap a lot

- Correct for image-charge formation in electrodes (classical electrostatics)
  → reduces bandgap a bit
- Shift for (un)occupied levels implemented as scissor operator
- Significantly improves agreement with experimental conductance values



